Platelet aggregation studies: autologous platelet-poor plasma inhibits platelet aggregation when added to platelet-rich plasma to normalize platelet count

Marco Cattaneo, Anna Lecchi, Maddalena Loredana Zighetti, Federico Lussana

From the Unità di Ematologia e Trombosi, Ospedale San Paolo, DMCO Università di Milano, Milan, Italy (MC, MLZ, FL); Dipartimento di Medicina e Specialità Mediche, IRCCS Fondazione Ospedale Maggiore, Mangiagalli e Regina Elena, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy (AL, MLZ).

Manuscript received November 3, 2006.
Manuscript accepted March 9, 2007.

Correspondence:
Marco Cattaneo, MD, Unità di Ematologia e Trombosi, Ospedale San Paolo, Università di Milano, Via di Rusini 8, 20142 Milano, Italy.
E-mail: marco.cattaneo@unimi.it

ABSTRACT

Adjusting platelet count (PC) in platelet-rich plasma (PRP) using platelet-poor plasma (PPP) is recommended for platelet aggregation (PA) studies, but it could also affect PA independently of the decrease in PC. Analysis of aggregation tracings from healthy controls showed that PC correlated with PA in 47 diluted-PRPs, but not in 104 undiluted-PRPs. Dilution of 9 PRPs with PPP progressively decreased PA, while dilution of washed platelets with buffer hardly affected PA. Apyrase partially prevented the inhibitory effect of PPP. Therefore, the practice of diluting PRP with PPP to adjust platelet count should be avoided because it artefactually inhibits PA.
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Light transmission aggregometry is the most widely used laboratory method to screen patients with suspected abnormalities of primary hemostasis due to inherited or acquired defects of platelet function. It measures the increase in light transmission through platelet-rich plasma (PRP) that occurs when platelets are aggregated by an agonist. There are many pre-analytical and analytical variables that affect the results of platelet aggregation. Even when all variables are accounted for the accuracy of the technique and its reproducibility are very poor. For this reason, results obtained with PRP of the index patient should be compared to those of control PRP, run in parallel. Because platelet count is considered a major determinant of in vitro platelet aggregation, platelet counts in the two PRP samples (from the index patient and the normal control) should be adjusted to the same value, using autologous platelet-poor plasma (PPP) for correct dilution. However, this practice could also affect platelet function independently of the induced change in platelet count, as PPP may contain substances affecting platelet function that are released by platelets or other blood cells during high-speed centrifugation of blood samples necessary to obtain PPP. This study examines whether or not dilution of PRP with autologous PPP also affects the results of platelet aggregation studies independently of the induced decrease in platelet count.

Design and Methods

Adenosine diphosphate (ADP), platelet-activating factor (PAF), collagen and apyrase were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other products were at least reagent grade. Human fibrinogen was purified from citrated plasma according to the method described by Kazal et al. Studies of platelet aggregation in patients and healthy controls from 1995 through 2002 were carried out according to a specific protocol, established at our Centre in 1975. This carefully standardizes pre-analytic and analytical variables of the test. According to this protocol, individuals who had been taking drugs known to interfere with platelet function for the 15 days previous to blood sampling were not included in the study. Blood samples were collected from healthy volunteers in 12.9 mM sodium citrate and centrifuged at 150 g for 15 mins to obtain PRP. After separation of PRP, tubes were centrifuged again at 1,200 g for 15 mins to obtain PPP. Washed platelets were washed using the method described by Mustard et al., and resuspended in Tyrode’s solution containing...
CaCl$_2$ 2 mM, MgCl$_2$ 1 mM, 0.1% dextrose, 0.35% bovine serum albumin, 0.05 U/mL apyrase, pH 7.35. Platelet aggregation was studied using a light transmission aggregometer (Dual Aggregometer, Chrono-Log Corporation, Havertown, PA, USA) and recorded for 3 min after stimulation of platelets with the indicated platelet agonists as described. Fibrinogen (0.4 mg/mL) was added to washed platelet suspensions before stimulation with the platelet agonists. The statistical methods used to analyze the results of the study are detailed in figure legends.

**Results and Discussion**

First, historical platelet aggregation tracings obtained in 151 healthy controls who had been studied in parallel with index patients from 1995 to 2002 were reanalyzed. Forty-seven PRPs had been diluted to a mean platelet count of 306×10$^9$/L (range, 120-437) to match the platelet count in the PRP of index patients, while 104 had been used undiluted at a mean platelet count of 382×10$^9$/L (range, 177-569) ($p<0.001$). The mean maximal and final extents of PA induced by platelet-activating factor (PAF) 0.2 µM or adenosine diphosphate (ADP) 2 µM were significantly lower in diluted-PRPs than in undiluted-PRPs (maximal extent: 23.6 ± 24.3 vs 54.4±26.3, $p<0.001$ for PAF; 40.8±20.8 vs 54.5±22.2, $p=0.001$, for ADP; final extent, 21.2±28.8 vs 49.9±32.5, $p<0.001$ for PAF; 36.4±25.4 vs 52±26, $p=0.001$ for ADP). Those induced by collagen 2 µg/mL were not significantly different (maximal and final extent were identical, because platelet deaggregation did not occur: 66.9±17.5 vs 71.8±14.7, $p=0.422$). There was no correlation between platelet count in undiluted-PRPs and either the maximal (not shown) or final extent (Figure 1) of platelet aggregation. By contrast, there was a statistically significant correlation between platelet count and both maximal (not shown) and final (Fig. 1) extent of platelet aggregation induced by PAF (0.2 µM) or ADP (2 µM) in diluted-PRPs. The correlation did not reach statistical significance when higher concentrations of the agonists were used. This analysis suggested that platelet count in PRP is not a major determinant of platelet aggregation, at least in the range of about 200 and 600×10$^9$/L, and that the decrease in platelet aggregation observed after dilution of PRP is due to inhibitory effects of PPP. Therefore, the effects of dilution of control PRP samples with PPP (n=9) were compared with those of dilution of washed platelet suspensions with suspending buffer (n=5). In both cases, platelet aggregation was studied in undiluted samples and in samples that had been diluted to 300, 225 and 150×10$^9$/L. Both maximal (Figure 2) and final (not shown) extent of platelet aggregation in PRPs decreased as a function of the decrease in platelet count. The extent of platelet aggregation in all diluted PRPs stimulated with ADP or PAF was significantly lower than that observed in undiluted PRPs, while the effect of dilution on collagen-induced platelet aggregation was statistically significant only at the lowest platelet count tested (150×10$^9$/L). By contrast, in washed platelet suspensions, a very slight effect of sample dilution was observed. This reached statistical significance only at the lowest platelet count tested (150×10$^9$/L) when PAF or ADP were the aggregating agents. The results obtained in these experiments with PRP agree with those of previous studies with a similar design. These are usually thought to confirm that platelet count is a major factor influencing results of platelet aggregation studies with light transmission aggregometry. However, the combined analysis of our results obtained with PRP and washed platelet suspensions suggests that at least in the range of about 200 and 600×10$^9$/L it is not the decrease in platelet count that affects platelet aggregation, but rather the use of PPP to dilute PRP samples.

These results could be explained by the suggestion that substances released from blood cells during the
high-speed centrifugation of blood samples necessary to obtain PPP may be responsible for the observed inhibitory effect on platelet aggregation. One such substance could be ADP, normally contained in red blood cells and platelets. This could induce desensitisation of its receptors in PRP thus impairing platelet response to both exogenous and endogenous ADP.

The experiments of PRP dilution with PPP were therefore repeated in the presence or absence of 0.5 U/mL apyrase. This prevents ADP receptors desensitization by degrading adenine nucleotides. Apyrase only partially prevented the inhibitory effect of PPP on the aggregation of PRP, suggesting that other substances, besides ADP, may also be responsible for the observed inhibitory effect of PPP.

In conclusion, this study challenges the common belief that platelet count (at least in the range of about 200-600×10^9/L) is a major determinant of platelet aggregation studies with light transmission aggregometer. The observed reduction in the extent of platelet aggregation after dilution of PRP with PPP is due to the inhibitory effect of substances contained in PPP. One of these is ADP, which probably desensitizes its receptors in PRP. It is suggested, therefore, that, when comparing two or more PRP samples, platelet counts should not be adjusted with PPP, as is common practice, because this generates an artifact that inhibits platelet aggregation. These observations could be particularly relevant when comparing patients with very high platelet counts to healthy controls since the extent to which platelet aggregation is inhibited by PPP is a function of the dilution.
tion factor. For instance, some of the abnormalities of platelet aggregation described in patients with essential thrombocythemia (ET)\textsuperscript{18} could be caused by the extensive dilution of their PRP samples with PPP. This is, however, unlikely to be responsible for abnormalities that are intrinsic to the ET platelet, such as defects of \( \alpha \)-adrenergic receptors and \( \delta \)-granules.\textsuperscript{16–18} This issue should be addressed in appropriately designed studies; it is of interest, however, to note that a study in which platelet aggregation of ET patients was studied with both light transmission and whole blood aggregometry, platelet aggregation was normal or defective in most diluted PRP samples, while it even increased in most undiluted, whole blood samples.\textsuperscript{1} In addition, preliminary experiments in five patients with ET performed in our laboratory, showed that platelet aggregation was significantly lower after dilution of patients’ PRP (range of baseline platelet counts: 714-1,660\( \times 10^{9} \)/L; range of platelet counts after dilution: 423-600\( \times 10^{9} \)/L) with autologous PPP than with Tyrode buffer.

**Authors’ Contributions**

MC: conception and design; drafting the article; final approval of the version to be published; AL: conception and design, acquisition of data; revising the manuscript; final approval of the version to be published; MLZ: acquisition of data; critically reviewing the article; final approval of the version to be published; FL: analysis and interpretation of data; revising the article critically; final approval of the version to be published.

**Conflict of Interest**

The authors reported no potential conflicts of interest.

**References**