



Professional issue

Recognising neuroplasticity in musculoskeletal rehabilitation: A basis for greater collaboration between musculoskeletal and neurological physiotherapists



Suzanne J. Snodgrass^{a,*}, Nicola R. Heneghan^b, Henry Tsao^c, Peter T. Stanwell^d,
Darren A. Rivett^a, Paulette M. Van Vliet^a

^aDiscipline of Physiotherapy, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medicine, and Centre for Translational Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Newcastle, Newcastle, Australia

^bSchool of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

^cSchool of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

^dDiscipline of Medical Radiation Science, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medicine and Centre for Translational Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Newcastle, Newcastle, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 November 2013

Received in revised form

9 January 2014

Accepted 17 January 2014

Keywords:

Neuroplasticity

Rehabilitation

Motor learning

ABSTRACT

Evidence is emerging for central nervous system (CNS) changes in the presence of musculoskeletal dysfunction and pain. Motor control exercises, and potentially manual therapy, can induce changes in the CNS, yet the focus in musculoskeletal physiotherapy practice is conventionally on movement impairments with less consideration of intervention-induced neuroplastic changes. Studies in healthy individuals and those with neurological dysfunction provide examples of strategies that may also be used to enhance neuroplasticity during the rehabilitation of individuals with musculoskeletal dysfunction, improving the effectiveness of interventions. In this paper, the evidence for neuroplastic changes in patients with musculoskeletal conditions is discussed. The authors compare and contrast neurological and musculoskeletal physiotherapy clinical paradigms in the context of the motor learning principles of experience-dependent plasticity: part and whole practice, repetition, task-specificity and feedback that induces an external focus of attention in the learner. It is proposed that increased collaboration between neurological and musculoskeletal physiotherapists and researchers will facilitate new discoveries on the neurophysiological mechanisms underpinning sensorimotor changes in patients with musculoskeletal dysfunction. This may lead to greater integration of strategies to enhance neuroplasticity in patients treated in musculoskeletal physiotherapy practice.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Evidence is emerging that the central nervous system (CNS) reorganises in response to musculoskeletal dysfunction (Moseley and Flor, 2012). Coined ‘neuroplasticity,’ this reorganisation is an intrinsic property of the nervous system enabling it to adapt to environmental changes, physiologic modifications, and experiences (Pascual-Leone et al., 2005). Neuroplasticity can be adaptive or maladaptive in the presence of pain or dysfunction, and the same processes causing CNS reorganisation may potentially be harnessed to reverse central changes and lead to positive patient outcomes (Flor, 2002). Yet physiotherapists working with clients with musculoskeletal dysfunction conventionally evaluate region-

specific movement performance or prescribe motor control exercises without considering the potential for plasticity of the CNS. In contrast, physiotherapists working with clients with neurological dysfunction commonly consider the effect of cortical dysfunction on patient performance as the brain is known to be the source of the problem. Recognising and addressing neuroplasticity as a component of motor control in patients with musculoskeletal dysfunction is important as (1) it may lead to greater understanding of neural mechanisms underpinning musculoskeletal dysfunction; and (2) addressing maladaptive neural organisation via neuroplasticity may improve the effectiveness of treatments that target motor behaviour, such as movement skill and muscle strength.

There is evidence that cortical processes influence aspects of musculoskeletal rehabilitation. However, the current approach musculoskeletal physiotherapists routinely use for exercise prescription is primarily influenced by exercise protocols investigated

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +612 49212089; fax: +612 49217053.

E-mail address: Suzanne.Snodgrass@newcastle.edu.au (S.J. Snodgrass).

in clinical trials (Macedo et al., 2009; Bystrom et al., 2013), with little focus on central brain processes associated with neuroplasticity. One approach for improving motor performance through neuroplasticity is mental imagery, whereby actual movement is deliberately enhanced or substituted by mental rehearsal of a task (Guillot et al., 2008). Used widely within a sporting context and in some instances neurological rehabilitation and pain syndromes (Moseley, 2004, 2006), its use within musculoskeletal rehabilitation is relatively underdeveloped; for reviews, see (Mulder, 2007; Guillot and Collet, 2008). Task-specific training is another approach shown to be effective in improving strength and motor performance, accompanied by changes in brain areas involved in performing the tasks (Carey et al., 2002; Tsao et al., 2010). It is therefore surprising that strategies addressing neuroplasticity, such as mental imagery and task-specific training, have not been more widely adopted in musculoskeletal rehabilitation.

In this paper the authors discuss the evidence supporting the presence of CNS neuroplasticity in musculoskeletal conditions and present examples from the field of neurological rehabilitation that highlight the potential role of neuroplasticity in the management of musculoskeletal dysfunction. Furthermore, it is argued that greater collaboration between musculoskeletal and neurological physiotherapists and researchers will lead to greater use of strategies to enhance neuroplasticity during musculoskeletal rehabilitation, optimising patient outcomes.

2. Evidence for neuroplasticity in patients with musculoskeletal dysfunction

Neuroplasticity has been defined as “the ability of the nervous system to respond to intrinsic and extrinsic stimuli by reorganising its structure, function and connections” (Cramer, 2010). There is overwhelming evidence that the brain is continuously remodelled in response to new or novel experiences (Kleim and Jones, 2008). Therefore, an appreciation of the influence of the central nervous system on all forms of movement as well as pain should underpin all forms of rehabilitation.

Evidence is emerging for cortical changes in patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain; for reviews see (Apkarian et al., 2009; Davis and Moayedi, 2013). In the presence of pain, several brain regions (the “pain matrix”) are consistently activated: primary (S1) and secondary (S2) somatosensory cortex, insula, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), amygdala, prefrontal cortex (PFC) and thalamus (Henry et al., 2011). However, patterns of brain activity are distinct for different pain conditions, indicating cortical responses are specific to pathologies. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) demonstrates the medial PFC is activated in response to painful stimuli in chronic low back pain (LBP), whereas the insula is activated in knee osteoarthritis (Apkarian et al., 2009). Early work by Flor et al. (1997) using magnetoencephalography demonstrated activity in the somatosensory cortex representing the back increases and shifts medially in response to painful stimuli in patients with LBP, with the degree of shift associated with the chronicity of pain. With transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), patients with chronic LBP also show changes in the representation of specific muscles in the motor cortex (Tsao et al., 2011), with greater differences in cortical reorganisation from that observed in healthy individuals associated with reduced coordination of the trunk muscles (Tsao et al., 2008).

Recent advances in magnetic resonance imaging techniques provide new methods for examining cortical changes resulting from musculoskeletal pain. Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (Duarte et al., 2012) has shown changes in brain neurochemistry in patients with chronic LBP (Siddall et al., 2006) and following spinal cord injury (Stanwell et al., 2010). Neurochemical

changes are specific to the side of pain, with patients with left sciatica demonstrating greater changes in the right thalamus (Yabuki et al., 2013). Grey matter volume is also decreased in patients with chronic LBP in the bilateral dorsolateral PFC and right thalamus, equivalent to grey matter loss in 10–20 years of aging (Apkarian et al., 2004). Resting-state fMRI shows the medial PFC (involved in emotional and self-referential processing) in LBP demonstrates increased connectivity with regions related to pain processing and receipt of pain information from the periphery (S2, insula, cingulate and S2 regions) (Baliki et al., 2011). In carpal tunnel syndrome, central morphometric changes demonstrated with diffusion tensor imaging correlate with median nerve conduction velocity (Maeda et al., 2013). These studies provide strong evidence that cortical changes occur in response to pain, and changes appear to be specific to the regional pathology, pain intensity and duration.

3. Interventions inducing neuroplasticity

Cortical changes due to chronic pain are reversible in response to pain reduction. Seminowicz et al. (2011) demonstrated that decreased cortical thickness and excessive activity during cognitive tasks in patients with chronic LBP normalised with reduced pain following treatment with either zygapophyseal joint block or spinal surgery. Maladaptive changes in the motor cortex can also be improved following specific exercise training. Deep abdominal muscle training using real-time ultrasound feedback results in an anteromedial shift of its representation in the motor cortex, towards that observed in healthy individuals (Tsao et al., 2010). This did not occur following general walking exercise, suggesting training must be specific to induce cortical changes. Further, feed-forward activation of deep abdominal muscles improved following specific isolated contractions, but not following a sit up exercise activating all abdominal muscles in a non-specific manner (Tsao and Hodges, 2007). Therefore, mere repetition of muscle contractions without precision during training may not be sufficient to yield brain changes or changes in functional outcome. There is also some evidence that lumbar spine manipulation invokes a transient increase in central motor excitability (Dishman et al., 2008). These findings suggest cortical abnormalities in patients with musculoskeletal pain can be positively influenced with motor training, and the CNS may also be potentially affected by manual therapy.

Although the therapist is working with a non-lesioned brain in managing patients with musculoskeletal dysfunction, the neurobiological basis of neuroplasticity and potential for motor learning is the same as for the person with brain damage such as stroke. In stroke rehabilitation, the interventions with the best evidence for demonstrable positive effects on neuroplasticity and motor learning are intensive repetitive practice and task-specific training (van Vliet, 1993; French et al., 2007). A systematic review and meta-analysis of TMS and fMRI evidence concluded a large overall effect

Table 1

Principles of experience-dependent plasticity, as outlined by Kleim and Jones (2008).

Principle	Supporting references
Using part and whole practice exercises where specific parameters such as amplitude and speed resemble the real life desired task	van Vliet and Heneghan (2006) Kleim and Jones (2008)
Performing sufficient repetitions to induce neural change	Kleim and Jones (2008)
Task-specificity	French et al. (2007)
Providing feedback that induces an external focus of attention in the learner	Durham et al. (2009) Durham et al. (2013) Sturmberg et al. (2013)

Table 2
Comparison of examples from specific approaches in neurological and musculoskeletal physiotherapy aligned with the principles of experience-dependant neuroplasticity.

Principle	Neurological physiotherapy paradigm: Retraining shoulder flexion in the stroke affected upper limb using a movement science approach ^a	Musculoskeletal/manual therapy paradigm: Retraining shoulder elevation in the scapular plane in the painful shoulder with Mobilisation With Movement (MWM) ^b
Part and whole practice	Part: practice shoulder flexion alone; whole: practice shoulder flexion while reaching overhead for a cup	Part: practice pain-free shoulder elevation in the scapular plane enabled by therapist-applied sustained glenohumeral posterolateral joint glide; whole: practice pain-free shoulder elevation through full range independently
Repetitive practice	Repeat part (shoulder flexion) and whole (goal directed reach-to-grasp movement) practice with sufficient repetitions to stimulate motor learning (e.g., 300 on 3 days per week (Birkenmeier et al., 2010))	Repeat part practice (pain-free shoulder elevation with sustained joint glide) 2–4 sets of 6–10 repetitions and whole practice (active pain-free shoulder elevation) 3 sets of 6–10 repetitions 3 times per day, plus active functional movements into shoulder elevation with tape applied
Task-specific training	Task-specific practice in required range for overhead reach (for example 90–120° flexion) followed by whole task practice to reach for overhead objects	Task-specific practice of pain-free shoulder elevation in the scapular plane performed 3 sets of 6–10 repetitions 3 times per day, followed by whole task practice using shoulder elevation to reach for overhead objects
Focus of attention	External focus of attention, using environmental cues; for example, while seated beside a wall, flexing shoulder without touching the wall (to discourage compensatory shoulder abduction)	Internal focus of attention; for example, by giving tactile feedback on the position of the humeral head or scapula during shoulder elevation, limiting range of movement by the onset of pain, and using overpressure to provide afferent input

^a Movement science approach as described in Carr and Shepherd (2010).

^b MWM approach as described in Vicenzino et al. (2011).

for brain activation changes in the lesioned sensorimotor cortex associated with functional gains following task-specific training after stroke (Richards et al., 2008). Repetitive task-specific training has great potential for changing movement behaviours and pain in people with musculoskeletal dysfunction because neuroplasticity is experience- and practice-dependent (van Vliet and Heneghan, 2006). Task-specific training protocols have already been developed for patients with neurological disorders (Turton et al., 2013) based on principles of experience-dependant plasticity (Table 1). These principles could usefully be applied to the development of training programs for musculoskeletal rehabilitation (Table 2).

4. Rehabilitation paradigms

Some forms of musculoskeletal rehabilitation include elements of the principles of experience-dependent neuroplasticity necessary for CNS changes. For instance, repetitive practice of a functional movement is a component of using a Mulligan Mobilisation With Movement (MWM) technique (Vicenzino et al., 2011) (Table 2). Introducing the functional context of movement early in musculoskeletal rehabilitation may lead to greater movement gains and earlier cortical recovery, as CNS changes are greater with task-specific training (Richards et al., 2008). Hundreds of repetitions of movement in varying contexts are necessary for inducing cortical changes (van Vliet et al., 2012), such as the use of shoulder taping to facilitate pain-free repeated use of the upper limb during functional tasks throughout the day. When pain prevents a patient from performing movements, physical repetitions may be too limited to induce neuroplastic changes and mental imagery may be used (Burford et al., 2008). These elements highlight some of the strategies being used in musculoskeletal rehabilitation that may induce CNS plasticity.

The focus of attention during movement retraining may also affect performance. In patients with stroke, common strategies induce an external focus of attention (focussing attention on movement effects on the environment), such as knocking an object off a table using shoulder protraction, or focussing on holding a ruler vertical during forearm supination practice. In contrast, musculoskeletal rehabilitation commonly uses strategies inducing an internal focus of attention (focussing on movements of the body or body parts (Wulf and Prinz, 2001)), such as tactile cues for positioning the head and neck in a neutral posture and using pain

as a guide for limiting range of motion or number of repetitions. An external focus of attention has been shown to be more effective for improving motor performance than an internal focus of attention (van Vliet and Wulf, 2006). This suggests innovative treatment techniques that induce an external focus of attention may augment musculoskeletal rehabilitation, such as training neck coordination by controlling a metal ball on a flat surface mounted on top of the head (Röjjezon et al., 2008), or using virtual reality computer gaming (Sarig Bahat et al., 2010).

In treating musculoskeletal dysfunction, many approaches utilise manual joint movement as integral and necessary to the treatment approach to modulate pain or influence viscoelastic properties of soft tissues. Manual contact may also be used in neurological dysfunction to facilitate movement, though the aim with one common approach (Carr and Shepherd, 2010) is to remain 'hands-off' as much as possible, so the patient more rapidly develops independent movement control. The potential contribution of a therapist's manual input to central cortical changes related to motor learning is an area that requires investigation.

5. Future collaboration

Combining the expertise of clinicians and researchers working in the areas of neurological and musculoskeletal physiotherapy will further the integration of knowledge about neuroplasticity into the treatment of musculoskeletal conditions. Greater collaboration between these groups may lead to the development of novel therapies and new innovative treatment paradigms. Future research should aim to unravel the underlying neurophysiological mechanisms that underpin adaptive sensorimotor changes associated with musculoskeletal dysfunction and the potential for plastic changes with specific interventions. Enhanced understanding of these mechanisms may aid to better optimise rehabilitation strategies for patients with a range of neuromusculoskeletal disorders.

References

- Apkarian AV, Baliki MN, Geha PY. Towards a theory of chronic pain. *Prog neurobiol* 2009;87(2):81–97.
- Apkarian AV, Sosa Y, Sonty S, Levy RM, Harden RN, Parrish TB, et al. Chronic back pain is associated with decreased prefrontal and thalamic gray matter density. *J Neurosci* 2004;24(46):10410–5.

- Baliki MN, Baria AT, Apkarian AV. The cortical rhythms of chronic back pain. *J Neurosci* 2011;31(39):13981–90.
- Birkenmeier RL, Prager EM, Lang CE. Translating animal doses of task-specific training to people with chronic stroke in 1-hour therapy sessions: a proof-of-concept study. *Neurorehabilitation Neural Repair* 2010;24(7):620–35.
- Burford C, Heneghan NR, Cumming J. The use of mental imagery to improve the performance of the craniocervical flexion test. In: 9th Congress of the International Federation of Orthopaedic Manipulative therapists. Rotterdam, The Netherlands; 2008.
- Bystrom MG, Rasmussen-Barr E, Grooten WJ. Motor control exercises reduces pain and disability in chronic and recurrent low back pain: a meta-analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2013;38(6):E350–8.
- Carey JR, Kimberley TJ, Lewis SM, Auerbach EJ, Dorsey L, Rundquist P, et al. Analysis of fMRI and finger tracking training in subjects with chronic stroke. *Brain* 2002;125(Pt 4):773–88.
- Carr JH, Shepherd RB. *Neurological rehabilitation: optimizing motor performance*. 2nd ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2010. pp. 23–46.
- Cramer SC. Brain repair after stroke. *New Engl J Med* 2010;362(19):1827–9.
- Davis KD, Moayedi M. Central mechanisms of pain revealed through functional and structural MRI. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol* 2013;8(3):518–34.
- Dishman JD, Greco DS, Burke JR. Motor-evoked potentials recorded from lumbar erector spinae muscles: a study of corticospinal excitability changes associated with spinal manipulation. *J Manip Physiol Ther* 2008;31(4):258–70.
- Duarte JM, Lei H, Mlynarik V, Gruetter R. The neurochemical profile quantified by in vivo 1H NMR spectroscopy. *Neuroimage* 2012;61(2):342–62.
- Durham K, Van Vliet PM, Badger F, Sackley C. Use of information feedback and attentional focus of feedback in treating the person with a hemiplegic arm. *Physiotherapy Res Int* 2009;14(2):77–90.
- Durham KF, Sackley CM, Wright CC, Wing AM, Edwards MG, van Vliet P. Attentional focus of feedback for improving performance of reach-to-grasp after stroke: a randomised crossover study. *Physiotherapy*; 2013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2013.03.004>. in press.
- Flor H. Painful memories. Can we train chronic pain patients to 'forget' their pain? *EMBO Rep* 2002;3(4):288–91.
- Flor H, Braun C, Elbert T, Birbaumer N. Extensive reorganization of primary somatosensory cortex in chronic back pain patients. *Neurosci Lett* 1997;224(1):5–8.
- French B, Thomas LH, Leathley MJ, Sutton CJ, McAdam J, Forster A, et al. Repetitive task training for improving functional ability after stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007;(4):CD006073.
- Guillot A, Collet C. Construction of the Motor Imagery Integrative Model in Sport: a review and theoretical investigation of motor imagery use. *Int Rev Sport Exerc Psychol* 2008;1(1):31–44.
- Guillot A, Collet C, Nguyen VA, Malouin F, Richards C, Doyon J. Functional neuro-anatomical networks associated with expertise in motor imagery. *Neuroimage* 2008;41(4):1471–83.
- Henry DE, Chiodo AE, Yang W. Central nervous system reorganization in a variety of chronic pain states: a review. *PM J Inj Funct Rehabil* 2011;3(12):1116–25.
- Kleim JA, Jones TA. Principles of experience-dependent neural plasticity: implications for rehabilitation after brain damage. *J Speech, Lang Hear Res* 2008;51(1):S225–39.
- Macedo LG, Maher CG, Latimer J, McAuley JH. Motor control exercise for persistent, nonspecific low back pain: a systematic review. *Phys Ther* 2009;89(1):9–25.
- Maeda Y, Kettner N, Sheehan J, Kim J, Cina S, Malatesta C, et al. Altered brain morphometry in carpal tunnel syndrome is associated with median nerve pathology. *Neuroimage-Clin* 2013;2:313–9.
- Moseley GL. Graded motor imagery is effective for long-standing complex regional pain syndrome: a randomised controlled trial. *Pain* 2004;108(1–2):192–8.
- Moseley GL. Graded motor imagery for pathologic pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 2006;67(12):2129–34.
- Moseley GL, Flor H. Targeting cortical representations in the treatment of chronic pain: a review. *Neurorehabilitation Neural Repair* 2012;26(6):646–52.
- Mulder T. Motor imagery and action observation: cognitive tools for rehabilitation. *J Neural Transm* 2007;114(10):1265–78.
- Pascual-Leone A, Amedi A, Fregni F, Merabet LB. The plastic human brain cortex. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2005;28:377–401.
- Richards LG, Stewart KC, Woodbury ML, Senesac C, Cauraugh JH. Movement dependent stroke recovery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of TMS and fMRI evidence. *Neurology* 2008;46:3–11.
- Röijezon U, Björklund M, Bergenheim M, Djupsjöbacka M. A novel method for neck coordination exercise – a pilot study on persons with chronic non-specific neck pain. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2008;23(5):36.
- Sarig Bahat H, Weiss PL, Laufer Y. The effect of neck pain on cervical kinematics, as assessed in a virtual environment. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2010;91(12):1884–90.
- Seminowicz DA, Wideman TH, Naso L, Hatami-Khorooshi Z, Fallatah S, Ware MA, et al. Effective treatment of chronic low back pain in humans reverses abnormal brain anatomy and function. *J Neurosci* 2011;31(20):7540–50.
- Siddall PJ, Stanwell P, Woodhouse A, Somorjai RL, Dolenko B, Nikulin A, et al. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy detects biochemical changes in the brain associated with chronic low back pain: a preliminary report. *Anesth Analgesia* 2006;102(4):1164–8.
- Stanwell P, Siddall P, Keshava N, Cocuzzo D, Ramadan S, Lin A, et al. Neuro magnetic resonance spectroscopy using wavelet decomposition and statistical testing identifies biochemical changes in people with spinal cord injury and pain. *Neuroimage* 2010;53(2):544–52.
- Sturmberg C, Marquez J, Heneghan N, Snodgrass S, vanVliet P. Attentional focus of feedback and instructions in the treatment of musculoskeletal dysfunction: a systematic review. *Man Ther* 2013;18(6):458–67.
- Tsao H, Danneels LA, Hodges PW. ISSLS prize winner: smudging the motor brain in young adults with recurrent low back pain. *Spine* 2011;36(21):1721–7.
- Tsao H, Galea MP, Hodges PW. Reorganization of the motor cortex is associated with postural control deficits in recurrent low back pain. *Brain* 2008;131(Pt 8):2161–71.
- Tsao H, Galea MP, Hodges PW. Driving plasticity in the motor cortex in recurrent low back pain. *Eur J Pain* 2010;14(8):832–9.
- Tsao H, Hodges PW. Immediate changes in feedforward postural adjustments following voluntary motor training. *Exp Brain Res* 2007;181(4):537–46.
- Turton AJ, Cunningham P, Heron E, Wijck Fv, Sackley C, Rogers C, et al. Home-based reach-to-grasp training for people after stroke: study protocol for a feasibility randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2013;14:109.
- van Vliet PM. An investigation of the task specificity of reaching: implications for re-training. *Physiotherapy Theory Pract* 1993;9(2):69–76.
- van Vliet PM, Heneghan N. Motor control and the management of musculoskeletal dysfunction. *Man Ther* 2006;11(3):208–13.
- van Vliet PM, Matyas T, Carey LM. Training principles to enhance learning-based rehabilitation and neuroplasticity. In: Carey LM, editor. *Stroke Rehabilitation: insights from neurosciences and imaging*. Oxford: University Press; 2012. pp. 115–26. ch. 9.
- van Vliet PM, Wulf G. Extrinsic feedback for motor learning after stroke: what is the evidence? *Disabil Rehabil* 2006;28(13–14):831–40.
- Vicenzino B, Hing W, Rivett D, Hall T. *Mobilisation with movement: the art and the science*. Edinburgh: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone; 2011. pp. 9–23.
- Wulf G, Prinz W. Directing attention to movement effects enhances learning. *Psychonomic Bull Rev* 2001;8(4):648–60.
- Yabuki S, Konno S, Kikuchi S. Assessment of pain due to lumbar spine diseases using MR spectroscopy: a preliminary report. *J Orthop Sci* 2013;18(3):363–8.